

1 STATE OF NEVADA

2 COMMISSION ON PEACE OFFICER STANDARDS AND TRAINING

3
4 A Workshop and Regularly Scheduled Meeting of
5 the Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training was held
6 on Thursday, July 17, 2025 commencing at 2:00 p.m. at 5587 Wa
7 Pai Shone Avenue, Carson City, Nevada.

8
9 COMMISSIONERS:

10 Oliver Miller, Chairman

11 Dan Coverley

12 Kevin McKinney

13 Russ Niel

14 Jamie Prosser

15 George Togliatti

16 Tiffany Young

17 STAFF:

18 Kathy Floyd, POST F

19 Geordan Goebel, Attorney General's Office

20 Mike Sherlock, POST F

21
22 TRANSCRIBED BY: Marsha Steverman-Meech
23
24
25

INDEX

ITEM:	PAGE:
1. Call to order	4
2. Roll call of Commission Members	6
3. Public Comment	6
4. Nomination and Election of New Commission Chairperson	6
5. Approval of minutes from the May 1, 2025 regularly scheduled POST Commission meeting	8
6. Executive Director's Report	8
7. Request from the Henderson Police Department for an Executive Certificate for their employee Deputy Chief Brandon Brooks, pursuant to NAC 289.270(1)(a).	12
8. Request from the Nevada Gaming Control Board for an Executive Certificate for their employee Chief Kristi Torgerson, pursuant to NAC 289.270(1)(a).	17
9. Hearing pursuant to NAC 289.230(4) and NAC 289.290(1)(f) on the suspension of the category II basic certificate held by Craig Dahlheimer, currently employed with Laughlin Township Constables Office, based on noncompliance with annual training requirements for 2024.	18
10. Hearing pursuant to NAC 289.290(1)(e) for the possible revocation of the category III basic certificate held by Elliot J. Burleigh, former employee of the Nevada Department of Corrections, based on the conviction of, entry of a plea of guilty, guilty but mentally ill or nolo contendere to, a gross misdemeanor.	24

11. Hearing pursuant to NAC 289.290(1)(g) for the possible revocation of the category III basic certificate held by Mario Caballeros, former employee of the Nevada Department of Corrections, based on the conviction of, entry of a plea of guilty, guilty but mentally ill or nolo contendere to, a felony. 25

12. Hearing pursuant to NAC 289.290(1)(g) for the possible revocation of the category I and III basic certificates held by Joseph M. Ortega, former employee of the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, based on the conviction of, entry of a plea of guilty, guilty but mentally ill or nolo contendere to, a felony. 26

13. Hearing pursuant to NAC 289.290(1)(g) for the possible revocation of the category I, II and III basic certificates held by Harvey S. Velazquez, former employee of the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, based on the conviction of, entry of a plea of guilty, guilty but mentally ill or nolo contendere to, a felony. 28

14. Public Comment 29

15. Schedule upcoming Workshop and Regularly scheduled meeting. Tentatively set for October 29, 2025 in Las Vegas. 30

16. Adjournment 32

1 PROCEEDINGS

2 MCKINNEY: The POST Commission Meeting is called
3 Order July 17th, 2025, and the time is 2:00 PM. Let's start off
4 with Deputy Director Mike Sherlock for information on today's
5 meeting.

6 SHERLOCK: Sure. Mike Sherlock for the record,
7 Director Sherlock but, you know, I can get demoted if he's not
8 here. The Deputy Director.

9 MCKINNEY: Oh, sorry, my mistake.

10 SHERLOCK: I don't care. So, you know, as y'all
11 know, with the retirement of Chief Trouten, we have currently no
12 chairperson. Just so everyone knows the statute simply says
13 that a chairperson is elected via majority vote of the
14 Commissioners. After speaking with our attorney, we decided the
15 best course of action was to have our senior Commissioner, Chief
16 McKinney open the meeting. He's our longest serving
17 Commissioner currently, and had him get the meeting going. One
18 of the first items that he'll go to will be the election of the
19 chairperson. Whoever is elected, whether it's Chief McKinney or
20 someone else, will at that moment simply hand over the script
21 and the ability for that new chairperson to run the meeting from
22 that point on. Just so everyone knows who may be interested,
23 again, the statute simply describes the duties of the
24 chairperson as the one who calls the meetings and they're
25 elected by majority vote. That's all it says for the

1 chairperson. A little thin from the statutory standpoint.
2 Considering we have a quorum here today, the quorum shall elect
3 by majority vote that chairperson. Just from a practical
4 standpoint, the chairperson does have some administrative duties
5 such as signing particular documents that staff needs signed and
6 on occasion may be called upon to speak in front of legislative
7 committees or the legislature. Although, to be honest with you,
8 it's pretty rare. The questions we get from the legislature are
9 usually day to day questions on procedure and that kind of
10 thing, but it could happen. Procedurally for you, I think
11 there's some flexibility. I know it's awkward to have an
12 election in a public meeting, but being that the statute just
13 calls for a majority vote, we can do a secret ballot. I think
14 we can trust Kathy to count the ballots if that's the way you
15 choose to go with the vote itself and that's up to you from that
16 that perspective. So, with that, I'll leave it up to you,
17 Chief, to continue the meeting to that agenda item.

18 MCKINNEY: Thank you. I meant Executive Director.
19 My apologies. Okay. Next we'll have Kathy Floyd regarding the
20 information on the legal postings and open meeting compliance.

21 FLOYD: Thank you. The meeting agenda has been
22 posted in compliance with NRS 241.020. The agenda was
23 physically posted at the POST administrative building and the
24 Nevada State Library in Carson City, and electronically posted
25 at the website at POST.nv.gov, the State of Nevada website at

1 notice.nv.gov, and emailed to all single point of contacts and
2 the agency administrators on the POST listserv.

3 MCKINNEY: Okay. Thank you. Item Number 1 -- oh,
4 I'm sorry. We'll skip that. Item Number 2, introductions. I'm
5 Kevin McKinney with the Carlin Police Department. We'll go to
6 my right.

7 GOEBEL: Geordan Goebel, Attorney General's
8 Office.

9 SHERLOCK: Mike Sherlock from POST.

10 FLOYD: Kathy Floyd from POST.

11 NIEL: Russ Niel, State Gaming Control Board.

12 PROSSER: Jamie Prosser, Las Vegas Metro.

13 YOUNG: Tiffany Young, Community member.

14 COVERLEY: Dan Coverley, Douglas County Sheriff's
15 Office.

16 TOGLIATTI: George Togliatti, Nevada Department of
17 Public Safety.

18 MILLER: Oliver Miller, Reno Police Department.

19 MCKINNEY: Thank you. Okay, we'll go to Item
20 Number 3, public comment. The Commission may not take action on
21 any matter considered under this item until the matter is
22 specifically included on an agenda as an action item. We will
23 have another opportunity for public comment at the end of the
24 meeting. Do we have any public comment at this time? Okay.
25 Seeing none, we'll go to Item Number 4, discussion, public

1 comment, and for possible action, the nomination and election of
2 the new POST chairperson. Director Sherlock, do you have any
3 further information on this?

4 SHERLOCK: No. Sorry, Mike Sherlock for the
5 record. it's totally up to you guys --

6 MCKINNEY: Okay.

7 SHERLOCK: -- how you want to run that. Again,
8 it's just a majority vote.

9 MCKINNEY: Okay. All right. At this point, do we
10 have any nominations for the position of chairperson? Again,
11 you can nominate yourself or nominate another member. I as a
12 frame of reference, I would be honored to do it. I would be
13 willing to do it if no one else is interested. However, if
14 there are other people interested we could move forward. So, do
15 we have any nominations or any recommendations?

16 PROSSER: Jamie Prosser. I nominate Ollie Miller.

17 MCKINNEY: Excellent.

18 COVERLEY: Second.

19 MCKINNEY: Okay. All right. Any other discussion?
20 Okay. Seeing that we only have one nomination, I don't see a
21 need for I guess a secret ballot. All those in favor? All
22 those in favor?

23 MEMBERS: Aye.

24 MCKINNEY: I vote aye as well. Congratulations,
25 Mr. Miller. You're now the chairperson.

1 MILLER: All right, very excited and honored.
2 Thank you, everyone.

3 PROSSER: All right.

4 MCKINNEY: I'm going to give this to you now. Oh,
5 I'm going to switch places. Well, or do we need to?

6 SHERLOCK: You don't need to.

7 MCKINNEY: No, just right here. I just -- we'll
8 just go this way.

9 MILLER: Luckily, I brought my glasses today.
10 Let's go.

11 SHERLOCK: So we're on Number 5 in terms of the
12 agenda, Chief.

13 MILLER: Item Number 5, approval of the minutes
14 from the May 1st, 2025, regularly scheduled POST Commission
15 meeting. Any public comment today. All right. Seeing that
16 there are none, any comments from our Commissioners? Okay,
17 looking for a motion to approve the minutes.

18 PROSSER: Jamie Prosser, so moved.

19 COVERLEY: Dan Coverley, second.

20 MILLER: Seeing that we have a motion, moving on
21 to Item Number 6.

22 COVERLEY: Oh, no, we got to vote.

23 MILLER: Oh, we got to vote on it. Okay.
24 Doesn't say anything about a vote here, but we'll get there.

25 SHERLOCK: We'll get there. We'll get there.

1 MILLER: Let's go ahead and take our vote. All
2 those in favor say aye.

3 MEMBERS: Aye.

4 MILLER: All opposed? Motion carries. Moving on
5 to Item 6. Let's go to Mike Sherlock for the POST report.

6 SHERLOCK: All right, first, congratulations, Mr.
7 Chairperson. I think you'll do a great job. The other thing, I
8 want to acknowledge and recognize Commissioner Young, I see we
9 have her name plate needs to be corrected.

10 YOUNG: Yeah.

11 SHERLOCK: It is now Dr. Young, completed her PhD.
12 So congratulations.

13 YOUNG: Thank you.

14 SHERLOCK: So, just a few things going on. Just to
15 share with you, we started a new academy with Day Zero
16 yesterday. Our academy classes are continuing to trend higher.
17 We started with 46. At the same time, we've begun our new
18 hybrid Category II Academy that have -- that class has nine
19 recruits that will be integrated into our Cat I for skills
20 training. So they'll show up for firearms or arrest control,
21 that type of thing, and frankly, you know, we like the bigger
22 classes. We want every seat filled. We know there's a need out
23 there, and we're just happy to see that agencies are apparently
24 doing a better job and the ability to recruit. So our classes
25 are trending up. As we do periodically, we sent a couple of our

1 TAC officers down to, we do different academies, but to Metro,
2 again, just to ensure that our people aren't working in a
3 vacuum, you know. We are able to see Metro's Day Zero and also
4 their week nine. We are happy to see -- you know, obviously
5 Metro runs a disciplined, well-run academy, matches sort of our
6 philosophy within our academy. Both are very similar. Their
7 Day Zero is almost exactly the same as ours, but we're always
8 looking for ideas to address common training issues that you
9 find with TAC officers and that kind of thing and ended up
10 getting some really good ideas from Metro, so I thank them for
11 that in terms of training for our TAC officers, that type of
12 thing. So it was a good experience for our people. On the
13 standard side, we are still sort of digesting the bills from the
14 legislature. One area of action that we need to address was SB
15 380. This bill adds developmental disability training to the
16 requirements of the current 12 hours that we do now for annual
17 compliance or required training. The bill doesn't change the
18 number of hours, and it doesn't address critical skills, but
19 it's those areas that we already train in. We believe that most
20 agencies already cover that, and the current mental health
21 requirements or de-escalation requirements. That being said, we
22 still need to update the regulation at this point, and for us to
23 ensure that agencies are meeting that requirement annually for
24 their people. But you'll see a workshop at the next October
25 meeting to update the regulation to include in that mandated

1 training on dealing with developmental disabilities. Right now
2 we believe that's the only bill that is going to require a
3 regulation change that came out of this session. We were able
4 to sort of pull out of that mandated sort of regulatory for the
5 other bills that we were tracking so we did good there. Last
6 area, I know I brought this up many times, but again, working
7 with our DAG and looking at our constant public records
8 requests, I think that we've come up with a good response again,
9 thanks to our attorney that helps us address these large public
10 records requests, you know, and some of the case law, frankly,
11 coming out of Metro and some of these other areas has helped us
12 out. In terms of what we have to give, it's always been
13 uncomfortable for POST because we don't maintain all records for
14 all peace officers, right? Their employing agency is generally
15 the better record if that's what they really want and so we get
16 caught in the middle where they're asking for training records.
17 Well, we don't maintain all of the agency's training records, we
18 maintain their POST training records, which is different, and so
19 it's not giving a fair look at that. So we're basically limited
20 to or advising those, asking for records that we can only
21 provide certification records and related to certification, not
22 to employment, not to hiring, not to training, whatever it may
23 be. And the other area that I think has helped us is where
24 they're asking for specific individuals and all records on an
25 individual, we're trying to put that back on the employing

1 agency because that's really where the records are. So anyway,,
2 we continue to get a lot of these requests, but I think we're
3 doing a better job again, thanks to the AG's office and how we
4 deal with these large media requests and that kind of thing, and
5 so that's moving along quite well I think, has helped us out.
6 Finally, with the final budget approval, we did get approved for
7 a new data management system. It looks like we're probably
8 going to be able to add LMS to that data management system that
9 we are getting. We hope to get moving on it right away and roll
10 that out as soon as possible. We're kind of on the edge with
11 the old data management that we're with right now, and so we're
12 hopefully to get that moving very soon. Looks like we're not
13 even going to have to go to RFP with -- we're going with Acadis
14 (phonetic), they were the best bid, and because they're state
15 contractors, we don't have to go to RFP. So it should be fairly
16 quick on getting that into place and rolling it out. So with
17 that, that's our synopsis of POST for this period.

18 MILLER: Is it appropriate for you to take
19 questions now?

20 SHERLOCK: Sure.

21 MILLER: Questions for Director Sherlock. All
22 right, this is not an action item, so we'll move on to Item
23 Number 7, discussion, and for possible action request from the
24 Henderson Police Department for an executive certificate for
25 their employee, Deputy Chief Brandon Brooks, pursuant to NAC

1 289.270(1)(b). Possible action may include approval or denial
2 of the requested executive certificate. Let's go to Mike
3 Sherlock.

4 SHERLOCK: Thanks. Mike Sherlock for the record.
5 So staff has reviewed the application submitted for Chief Brooks
6 and find that that application shows that he meets or exceeds
7 the requirements for the executive certificate. And based on
8 that application, we would recommend issuance.

9 TOGLIATTI: Chair, George Togliatti for the record.
10 Is he still employed? I don't believe he's employed at
11 Henderson Police Department.

12 SHERLOCK: I think he's out, right?

13 FLOYD: Is this the one that -- I can't -- I
14 can't --

15 SHERLOCK: Yeah, I don't know that we've actually
16 gotten the PAR yet. So I --

17 PROSSER: Jamie Prosser for the record. I believe
18 he is retiring as of August 1st officially, but he is currently
19 not working in this capacity.

20 TOGLIATTI: There was a lot of back and forth with
21 Henderson over the last, right, month, two months, three months.
22 And I believe he's no longer there. At least that's according
23 to media reports.

24 SHERLOCK: Yeah, but --

25 FLOYD: I don't remember when they applied.

1 SHERLOCK: Mike Sherlock for the record.

2 FLOYD: Maybe back in May.

3 SHERLOCK: Again, that's only for you guys to
4 decide. We can only say that at the time we received the
5 application, that person was employed and met the requirements
6 at that time.

7 PROSSER: Jamie Prosser for the record. I don't
8 really have a concern because he has achieved what he needed to
9 to receive the executive certificate, and he's still technically
10 employed until August. I don't see a reason to deny him because
11 he could potentially use this for future employment and why deny
12 him that opportunity?

13 TOGLIATTI: I would -- again, George Togliatti. I
14 could really -- as far as personally supporting Chief Brooks,
15 I'm all for it. I was just, again, technically speaking a
16 request from the Henderson Police Department. It's not his
17 request, it's the Henderson Police Department. I'm just
18 technically saying if the Henderson Police Department doesn't
19 have him on staff anymore, then they still requesting get to --
20 and they're not -- are they here or representative? I don't
21 know otherwise.

22 PROSSER: Jamie Prosser for the record. Actually,
23 the acting chief that actually signed the letter requesting this
24 is no longer employed there either. So there you have a double
25 conundrum.

1 SHERLOCK: So, yeah, let me -- so Mike Sherlock for
2 the record. I don't understand, we wouldn't know some of that,
3 right? We look at the four corners of that, that application
4 and whether -- but let me say this, that, you know, what you
5 have knowledge of certainly comes into play and the executive
6 certificate is the only certificate that the Commission, you
7 guys, decide and approve. So you certainly can base your
8 decision based on these facts that maybe staff's not aware of in
9 terms of issuing that certificate, but it is solely within your
10 discretion.

11 MILLER: Ollie Miller for the record. Is there
12 any rule in place for an executive POST that an individual has
13 to be employed by the requesting agency?

14 FLOYD: They have to be in a executive position.

15 SHERLOCK: Yeah, so Mike Sherlock for the record.
16 It is inferred that someone who any certificate requires
17 employment, right? So, you know, if someone had separated as
18 he's going to apparently August 1st, he would move to inactive.
19 If they applied at that point, he is not employed and we would
20 not be able to approve or recommend that certificate. We
21 wouldn't even bring it to you, to be honest with you just
22 because employment is an essential part of certification in
23 Nevada. But at the moment that this came to us, he was
24 employed.

1 MILLER: So Ollie Miller for the record. Maybe
2 it's a moot question because we've heard from one of our
3 Commissioners that he is employed until August. He's got --
4 meets statutorily all the requirements for --

5 FLOYD: Right. Yeah. He just has --

6 MILLER: -- POST executive.

7 FLOYD: -- six years' experience, 200 hours.

8 Applicant must present proof that the current assignment meets
9 the criteria. At the time it did.

10 SHERLOCK: And just so the Commission knows and you
11 may remember, Chief, but we have had applicants in the past that
12 had retired but wanted it for their resume or whatever it may be
13 and at the time of their employment, they were eligible and
14 actually went back and had the agency apply for it. But at the
15 time that they were eligible is what they wanted to go back to.
16 So it has happened. Let me just say that.

17 MCKINNEY: Kevin McKinney for the record. I
18 believe since POST hasn't received a PAR, I would still consider
19 him employed at this point and I don't think we have a reason to
20 deny it at this stage.

21 MILLER: Any other comments or questions from our
22 Commissioners? Seeing that there's none, looking for motion to
23 approve the executive certificate for Deputy Chief Brandon
24 Brooks.

25 NIEL: Russ Niel for the record. So moved.

1 MCKINNEY: Kevin McKinney. I'll second.

2 MILLER: All right, seeing we have our motion,
3 our second, all those in favor say aye.

4 MEMBERS: Aye.

5 MILLER: All those opposed? Motion carries.
6 Moving on to Item Number 8, discussion and for possible action,
7 a request from Nevada Gaming Control Board for an executive
8 certificate for their employee Chief Kristi Torgerson, pursuant
9 to NAC 289.270(1)(a). Possible action may include approval or
10 denial of the request for the executive certificate. Let's go
11 to Mike Sherlock.

12 SHERLOCK: So Mike Sherlock for the record. Staff,
13 again, reviewed the application for Chief Torgerson and find she
14 meets or exceeds the requirements for the executive certificate,
15 and staff does recommend issuing that certificate. I think
16 Chief Niel can tell us whether or not she's still working. I
17 think she is so.

18 NIEL: I just talked to her a few minutes ago.

19 SHERLOCK: Oh, yeah. All right.

20 PROSSER: And I saw her (inaudible).

21 NIEL: Quick question for counsel. She's my
22 boss. Do I need to recuse myself on this?

23 GOEBEL: That's entirely up to you.

24 NIEL: Okay. I'll vote.

25 MILLER: All right.

1 TOGLIATTI: I'll snitch her out anyway, so.

2 PROSSER: All right.

3 MILLER: Any further comments from our
4 Commissioners? All right. Seeing that there's none, looking
5 for a motion to approve the executive certificate for Chief
6 Kristi Torgenson.

7 NIEL: Russ Niel. Motion to approve.

8 TOGLIATTI: George Togliatti. Second.

9 MILLER: All right. Seeing that there's a motion
10 and a second, all those in favor say aye.

11 MEMBERS: Aye.

12 MILLER: I am an aye also. All those opposed?
13 Motion carries. Item Number 9, discussion and for possible
14 action hearing pursuant to NAC 289.230(4) and NAC 289.290(1)(f)
15 on the suspension of the category II basic certificate held by
16 Craig Dahlheimer, currently employed with Laughlin Township
17 Constable's Office based on non-compliance with annual training
18 requirements for 2024. Possible action may include suspension
19 of Mr. Dahlheimer's category II basic POST, but first let's go
20 to our DAG Goebel, probably got your last name wrong, right, for
21 foundation for all of our suspensions and revocation hearings
22 today.

23 GOEBEL: Thank you, Chairman Geordan Goebel for
24 the record. We are on Item 9, but for all of the revocation
25 items you'll be considering, items 9 through 13, I'll lay a

1 basis and evidentiary foundation for the admissibility and
2 validity of the materials that you're going to refer to and rely
3 upon in making any decisions here. I'm going to ask Chief Floyd
4 some questions about these documents to establish a record for
5 what they are, their foundation and evidentiary validity for the
6 purposes of your actions on Agenda Items 9 through 13. Chief
7 Floyd, for the records and documents that are contained in these
8 meeting materials for the offenses addressed and issues
9 addressed in Item 9 through 13, did you obtain these documents
10 and records directly from the courts or employing agencies?

11 FLOYD: Yes, I did.

12 GOEBEL: And have you maintained these documents
13 and records in the ordinary course of your record keeping since
14 you obtained them?

15 FLOYD: Yes, I have.

16 GOEBEL: And are the versions of those documents
17 that are contained in the meeting materials true and accurate
18 copies of the original documents?

19 FLOYD: Yes, they are.

20 GOEBEL: Thank you. Based on Chief Floyd's
21 testimony, I can advise the Commission that the materials
22 provided for Agenda Items 9 through 13 constitute valid public
23 records of charges and convictions that uphold the regulatory
24 standard revocation in those matters, and those materials may be
25 admitted for your consideration as to each action item. So

1 having advised you of that, does anyone have any questions for
2 me about the admissibility or legal validity of any of the items
3 that are contained in the revocation packets provided to you?

4 MILLER: All right, no questions for Mr. Goebel.
5 Let's go to Mike Sherlock for background on this hearing.

6 SHERLOCK: Okay. Mike Sherlock for the record. So
7 this first one, Item Number 9 is a bit unusual. Thanks to Kathy
8 and her staff, we just don't bring these before you very often
9 and in recent years, they've been able to work closely with
10 agencies to ensure that all certified officers complete their
11 annual training. So this is the first time in probably a couple
12 years that we've even asked or brought this before the
13 Commission to suspend for failure to complete compliance
14 training. In this case, the employee agency is requesting that
15 the POST certificates be suspended as they have not completed
16 their annual compliance training. Specifically in this case,
17 Deputy Constable Dahlheimer has not completed any compliance
18 training, to include critical skills proficiency, at all for the
19 calendar year 2024 as is required; apparently per the agency is
20 on admin leave; and the agency has requested that a certificate
21 be suspended. Based on the situation, staff would recommend
22 that the POST certificate, basic certificate for Deputy
23 Constable Dahlheimer be suspended until such time as he comes
24 into compliance with the training mandate, and I'll submit it
25 with that.

1 MILLER: All right, we are looking for any
2 Commission comments.

3 MCKINNEY: Kevin McKinney for the record. More of
4 a question. What would be the training requirements to allow
5 him to reinstate it?

6 SHERLOCK: So, and Mike Sherlock for the record.
7 So in this case, he would have to do his critical skills, so
8 defensive tactics, arrest control, use of force, less lethal,
9 firearms at least once, demonstrate proficiency to the employing
10 agency, and also do the 12 hours of continued, right, those
11 seven categories. He's done none of it. So to come into
12 compliance, he would have to do it all, and he hasn't. So it
13 makes sense, at least from our perspective to request that
14 suspension because he hasn't done the training.

15 MCKINNEY: As a follow up question, Kevin McKinney
16 for the record, so will he need to do 2024 training or would the
17 training he takes now make him compliant for 2025?

18 SHERLOCK: So he would -- because of critical
19 skills or proficiency, demonstrating proficiency, if he
20 demonstrates proficiency, you can't do that more than once, so
21 it would count for both years, right, but that 12 hours would
22 not. He would have to do -- he's still missing the 12 hours
23 from 2024, and he would have to do it again for 2025 at some
24 point by the end. And the way the regulation reads, it's a
25 calendar year, so by December 31st.

1 PROSSER: Jamie Prosser for the record. You said
2 he is on admin leave. Is that what's preventing him from
3 completing his training?

4 SHERLOCK: That's our question. The agency would
5 say no but again, from our perspective, whether it's the agency
6 preventing him or him preventing himself from taking the
7 training, he still hasn't had the training and so from staff's
8 perspective, he shouldn't be out exercising peace officer
9 powers, whatever that may be. Now we agree this is an unusual
10 one. He's at on admin leave but again, from our perspective,
11 the fact that he's on admin leave, by definition he's not
12 exercising peace officer powers and so the suspension is simply
13 administrative until he can get the training and come off of
14 admin leave from our perspective. Well, I'll leave it at that.

15 PROSSER: Well, I won't. Jamie Prosser for the
16 record. To me it sounds like we're doing their dirty work, so
17 we suspend his POST certification, then they potentially could
18 move to term because he has no active POST certification.

19 SHERLOCK: Yeah. And I think you guys have heard
20 me talk about that before. We do not want to be the reason
21 someone is terminated but in this case, we're talking about
22 suspension.

23 PROSSER: Not revocation.

1 SHERLOCK: Not revocation until such time as he
2 receives the training, and I think that's why we would recommend
3 that the suspension occur.

4 MILLER: Any other comments from our Commission?

5 COVERLEY: Dan Coverley. So this is just for the
6 calendar year of 2024?

7 SHERLOCK: Yeah.

8 COVERLEY: Do we know if he was on admin leave for
9 that whole year or? So I guess my question is is could he have
10 done some of the training and he just didn't do it in the year
11 2024?

12 SHERLOCK: So Mike Sherlock for the record. We
13 believe that he was not on admin leave the entire year. Some of
14 you from the South may know more than we know.

15 FLOYD: (Inaudible.)

16 SHERLOCK: So yes, it's there. We suspect we'll be
17 back on this. There are criminal charges pending. So.

18 PROSSER: Well, Jamie Prosser moves to suspend.

19 YOUNG: Tiffany Young. I second.

20 SHERLOCK: You forced it out of us. I don't know
21 how much but.

22 MILLER: So just so everyone knows, we were
23 looking for a motion regarding the suspension of Deputy
24 Constable Craig Dahlheimer, and we have a motion and a second.
25 All those in favor say aye.

MEMBERS: Aye.

MILLER: I too am an aye. All those opposed?

Motion carries. Moving on to Item 10, discussion and for possible action hearing pursuant to NAC 289.290(1)(e) for possible revocation of the category III basic certificate held by Elliot Burleigh, a former employee of Nevada Department of Corrections based on the conviction of an entry of a plea of guilty, guilty but mentally ill or nolo contendere to a gross misdemeanor. The convictions that have led to this action are Count I: child endangerment, a gross misdemeanor as defined in NRS 200.508(2)(b)(1). Action may be revocation of the category III basic certificate. Let's go to Mike Sherlock for additional information.

SHERLOCK: Thanks. Mike Sherlock for the record.

So here you can see in the hearing material in your book that conviction is a gross misdemeanor. The employing agency at the time of terminating this former officer requested revocation and I would just add beyond the conviction itself, the officer was placed on probation for up to 18 months and some of those conditions of probation include a search-clause supervision by parole and probation, no weapons, and restrict out-of-state travel to name a few of the restrictions on probation. As such, staff would submit both the conviction and those conditions of that conviction are inconsistent with being a certified peace

1 officer, and we would recommend that the Commission revoke the
2 basic certificate.

3 MILLER: Okay. Looking for comments or questions
4 from our Commissioners? Seeing that we have no comments or
5 questions from our Commissioners, we'll be looking for a motion
6 regarding the revocation of Elliot Burleigh's category III POST
7 certificate.

8 COVERLEY: Dan Coverley. Move to revoke.

9 MILLER: (Inaudible.)

10 MCKINNEY: Kevin McKinney. I'll second.

11 UNIDENTIFIED: All right, you got it. Yes.

12 MILLER: All right, we have a second by Kevin
13 McKinney. All those in favor say aye.

14 MEMBERS: Aye.

15 MILLER: I too am an aye. All those opposed?
16 Motion carries. Moving on to Item Number 11, discussion and for
17 possible action hearing pursuant to NAC 289.290(1)(g), for
18 possible revocation of the category III basic certificate held
19 by Mario Caballeros, former employee of the Nevada Department of
20 Corrections, based on a conviction of, entry of a plea of
21 guilty, guilty but mentally ill, or nolo contendere to a felony.
22 The convictions that have led to this action are Count I,
23 possession of visual presentation depicting sexual contact of a
24 child, Category B in violation of NRS 200.700, NRS 200.730;
25 Count II, preparing, advertising, or distributing materials

1 depicting pornography involving a minor, category B felony in
2 violation of NRS 200.725. Action may be revocation of the
3 category III basic certificate. Let's go to Mike Sherlock for
4 the additional information.

5 SHERLOCK: Thank you. Mike Sherlock for the
6 record. In the evidence or material provided, you can see that
7 the former officer Mario Caballero was convicted of two felony
8 counts, the first being possession visual presentations
9 depicting sexual contact with a minor, and the second for
10 preparing, advertising, or distributing such material. Staff
11 would submit that beyond the felony conviction, the crimes he
12 was convicted of are clearly inconsistent with being a certified
13 officer here in Nevada and staff would recommend that his
14 category III basic certificate be revoked.

15 MILLER: All right. Thank you, Mike. Looking
16 for Commissioner comments, questions. Seeing that there are
17 none, looking for motion regarding the category III certificates
18 for Mario Caballeros and the revocation.

19 COVERLEY: Dan Coverley. So moved.

20 TOGLIATTI: George Togliatti, second.

21 MILLER: We have a motion and a second. All
22 those in favor say aye.

23 MEMBERS: Aye.

24 MILLER: I too am an aye. All those opposed?
25 Motion carries. Moving on to Item Number 12, discussion for

1 possible action hearing pursuant to NAC 289.290(1)(g) for
2 possible revocation of the category I and III basic certificates
3 held by Joseph M. Ortega, a former employee of the Las Vegas
4 Metropolitan Police Department based on the conviction of entry
5 of a plea of guilty, guilty but mentally ill, or nolo contender
6 to a felony. The convictions that have led to this action are:
7 Count 1, child abuse, neglect or endangerment with use of a
8 deadly weapon, Category B felony in violations of NRS 200.508,
9 NRS 193.165; Count 2 through 5, child abuse neglect or
10 endangerment, Category B felonies in violation of NRS 200.508;
11 Count 7, coercion constituting domestic violence, Category B
12 felony in violation of NRS 207.190. Action may be revocation of
13 the category I and III basic certificates. Let's go to Mike
14 Sherlock for additional information.

15 SHERLOCK: Sure. Mike Sherlock for the record. I
16 would just say in this case reflected in your books of some
17 interest is this officer or former officer had been convicted of
18 six counts of child abuse and domestic violence and we don't see
19 a lot of jury trials in any criminal case, but this one went to
20 the jury and jury found him guilty of charges listed, and we
21 would submit the conviction of the felonies along with the
22 specific charges that he was found guilty of are inconsistent
23 with being a certified peace officer in the state of Nevada, and
24 we would recommend the revocation of both the category I and
25 category II basic certificates.

1 MILLER: All right, Ollie Miller for the record,
2 looking for any Commission comment on this. All right, do we
3 have a motion in regard to the category I and III basic
4 certificates for Joseph M. Ortega?

5 YOUNG: Tiffany Young. (Inaudible.) Motion.

6 MILLER: And that's a motion to revoke?

7 YOUNG: Yes.

8 MILLER: We have a motion. Do we have a second?

9 MCKINNEY: Kevin McKinney. I'll second.

10 MILLER: Seeing that there's a motion and a
11 second, all those in favor say aye.

12 MEMBERS: Aye.

13 MILLER: I too am an aye. All those opposed?
14 Motion carries. Moving on to Item Number 13, discussion and for
15 possible action hearing pursuant to NAC 289.290(1)(g) for the
16 possible revocation of the category I, II, and III basic
17 certificates held by Harvey S. Velazquez, former employee of the
18 Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, based on the
19 conviction of entry of a plea of guilty, guilty but mentally
20 ill, or nolo contendere to a felony. The convictions that have
21 led to this action are: Count 1, soliciting a child for
22 prostitution, a Category D felony in violation of NRS 201.354;
23 Count 2, luring children or mentally ill persons with the intent
24 to engage in sexual conduct, a Category B felony in violation of
25 NRS 201.560; Count 3, statutory sexual seduction, a Category B

1 felony in violation of NRS 200.364, NRS 200.68.1; and Count 4,
2 attempt to use or permit a minor age 14 or older to be subject
3 of sexual portrayal in a performance, a Category B felony in
4 violation of NRS 200,710.2, NRS 193.153. Action may be
5 revocation of the category I, II, and III basic certificates.
6 Let's go to Mike Sherlock for information regarding this
7 revocation.

8 SHERLOCK: Thank you. Mike Sherlock for the
9 record. So in this case, as you see in your material, former
10 Officer Velazquez was convicted of various felonies related to
11 sexual conduct with minors. The specific crimes themselves
12 along with the felony conviction, Staff recommends the
13 revocation of the category I, II, and III basic certificates.

14 MILLER: Any comments or questions from the
15 Commission? Seeing that there are none, I'll be looking for a
16 motion in regards to the basic category I, II, and III
17 certificates for Harvey S. Velasquez.

18 TOGLIATTI: George Togliatti. I move to revoke.

19 COVERLEY: Dan Coverley, second.

20 MILLER: We have a motion and a second. All
21 those in favor say aye.

22 MEMBERS: Aye.

23 MILLER: I too am an aye. All those opposed?
24 Motion carries. Moving on to Item Number 14, public comment.
25 We have no public comment. Do we have any other public comment

1 today? Seeing that there's none, moving on to Item Number 15,
2 discussion of and for possible action, schedule upcoming meeting
3 in October. We need a location. We can go to Mike Sherlock for
4 further.

5 SHERLOCK: Thank you. Mike Sherlock for the
6 record. So it looks like the Sheriffs and Chiefs will be at
7 South Point once again this year and I've been working with Pam
8 on them accommodating us or facilitating our meeting. As usual,
9 they help us tremendously so I would say subject to the
10 availability of the room in terms of time, we are looking at
11 October 29th at 2025 at the South Point in Las Vegas as our next
12 meeting, if that works for everyone.

13 COVERLEY: So that's a Wednesday?

14 SHERLOCK: Yeah, I believe it is a Wednesday.
15 Yeah.

16 MCKINNEY: Kevin McKinney. I believe the training
17 goes till about 4:00 that day on Wednesday. Typically we've had
18 'em on Thursday after the conference.

19 SHERLOCK: Yeah, Mike Sherlock for the record. So
20 last year I think we did on Wednesday too because she
21 facilitated us during a breakout for them I think, but I can
22 confirm it with her. It'll be during South Point. How about
23 that?

1 COVERLEY: So Dan Coverley for the record. Having
2 to have to travel back, we typically do that on a Thursday. I
3 would be for --

4 SHERLOCK: Morning?

5 COVERLEY: -- doing it -- well, doing it on
6 Wednesday.

7 SHERLOCK: Okay.

8 COVERLEY: -- if we can. You know, if it doesn't
9 gum up that conference too bad.

10 MILLER: Ollie Miller for the record. I concur
11 with that.

12 SHERLOCK: Okay. Just so you know that the 29th is
13 the date Pam asked if we could do it then. So I'm not sure of
14 their full agenda or what they have going on, but she's the one
15 who gave us Wednesday. So we may be good from that.

16 COVERLEY: Usually in the afternoon on Wednesday
17 we're free.

18 SHERLOCK: Yeah.

19 COVERLEY: We'll have to --

20 SHERLOCK: Maybe that's what we did. We did, like,
21 a 1:00. That's why she wants Wednesday.

22 SHERLOCK: Anyway, it is on a Wednesday for her.

23 TOGLIATTI: George Togliatti for the record. I'm
24 sure between Jamie and I, if you have issues with getting a room
25 based on their activities --

1 SHERLOCK: Sure.

2 TOGLIATTI: -- we could probably find you a room at
3 the South Point pretty easily.

4 MILLER: Yeah. Okay, good. Any other comment?

5 All right, I'm looking for a motion to approve the meeting for
6 October 29th, 2025 in Las Vegas.

7 PROSSER: So moved.

8 MILLER: Do we have a second?

9 COVERLEY: Second.

10 MILLER: We have a motion and a second. All
11 those in favor say aye.

12 MEMBERS: Aye.

13 MILLER: I too am an aye. All those opposed?

14 Motion carries. Onto Item Number 16 for discussion or possible
15 action, adjournment.

16 COVERLEY: (Inaudible.) You need a motion, right?

17 MILLER: Yes.

18 COVERLEY: So moved.

19 NIEL: I'll second.

20 MILLER: Premature. I got a motion. I got a
21 second. All those in favor say aye.

22 MEMBERS: Aye.

23 YOUNG: He just wants to hit the gavel.

24 SHERLOCK: Yeah, he just wants to hit that thing.

1 MILLER: I too am an aye. Any opposed? Motion
2 carries. Meeting adjourned.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13